

## Educating a Pharmacoepidemiology Researcher on Selecting Optimal Healthcare Databases for Research

Sharmila A Kamani, Earl L Goehring Jr, Anokhi J Kapasi, Bao Nguyen-Khoa, Varinder P Singh, Judith K Jones DGI, Inc., Arlington, VA, USA

OBJECTIVE	RESULTS
To provide an example of how the online resource B.R.I.D.G.E. TO DATA <sup>SM</sup> serves as an education tool in (pharmaco)epidemiologic and pharmacoeconomic research, and to understand and identify these data sources worldwide.	Figure 2. Criteria-based search to find optimal US database(s) for studying the risk of developing respiratory diseases in patients exposed to medication at varying dosages.
BACKGROUND	(As available on July 27, 2010)
Teaching pharmacoepidemiology research requires tools to instruct students on:	Image: Second
1. Identifying and accessing multiple databases for evaluating optimal data resources to address a research question, and	Image: Search results are based on literal matches
2. Facilitating understanding of the structure and data elements in healthcare databases.	49 Statute     500     80       Base No     500     80
One such resource is B.R.I.D.G.E. TO DATA <sup>SM</sup> (Benefit-Risk Information for DruG Evaluations, (www.bridgetodata.org; Figure 1), a restructured online database of databases originally known as the <i>Risk Assessment of Drugs Analysis &amp; Response</i> (RAD-AR) Handbooks. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Miwa LJ, Jones JK, Mann RD. Identifying resources for assessing use and effects of pharmaceuticals and other medical products in the European community. <i>European Medicines Research</i> . IOS Press; 1994. p. 391-407.	Initial Results     Percent (%) Match Tip:     Search results are literal matches to search terms. Therefore some 67% matches may quality as 100% matches may quality as 100% matches. Manual review of the profiles is recommended before removing from selection.     Exclude non-USA profiles (A1 removed)     REMOVE non-USA profiles (A1 removed)     Australia (1) Netherhands (3) Networkendas (3) Networkendas (3) Networkendas (3) Networkendas (3)
	China (3) Norway (2) refine each of your search collections. Click France (4) Spain (3) Germany (3) Sweden (6) a sub-collection feature to create India (1) Taiwan (1) b ker (2) b ker (3)
<text><list-item><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></list-item></text>	38 Database Profiles   Exclude Diagnosis: No. (1 removed) DO NVSS Fetal Death   DAGNOSIS Seture Tip: Some databases only collect data on specific diagnoses: Vaccine Adverse Event Rep System (AEs coll) Nat Heads havint Exam Survey (MHAES) (select Do)     37 Database Profiles   Exclude Diagnosis: No. (1 removed) DO NVSS Fetal Death   Database Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Coll Col
<b>METHODS</b> Where are the data? A researcher may hypothesize that there is a difference in clinical outcome (i.e., drug-induced respiratory diseases)	Final Search Results   FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) Geisinger Health Care System Health Care Integrated Research Database (HIRD) * 13 Invision Data Mart     14 Database Profiles   Health Care Integrated Research Database (HIRD) * 13 Invision Data Mart     MarkelScan Medicaid   MarkelScan Medicaid Glaims and Encounters * MarkelScan Medicaid Glaims File     Ned-Cal Paid Claims File   National Disease and Thread Dis
when a certain drug is administered at two different dosage levels (high; low). To do this study in the US, the researcher would need to identify US databases that capture data on drugs (with dosages) and diagnoses. <b>Table 1</b> lists data elements contained in the database profiles in B.R.I.D.G.E. TO DATA <sup>SM</sup> .	Hammeline Adding House addited Centric Database * Premier Perspective Sione Epidemiology Unit Case Control Surveillance Study   * Table 2 shows a comparison of 3 US database profiles from the final search results.     Table 2. Excerpt from B.R.I.D.G.E. TO DATASM comparing 3 of the selected US databases     Pield NAMES   MARKETSCAN COMMERCIAL RESARCH DATABASE (HIRD)   MARKETSCAN COMMERCIAL CLAMIS AND ENCOUNTERS   PHARMETRICS PATIENT: CENTRIC DATABASE
Table 1. Brief Description of Data Elements       Summary	Demographic Data     Population Type     Insured: type (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid)     Insured: type (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid)     General Population Commercially insured population Active employees and dependents, early (non-Medicare) retirees and dependents, and COBRA continuers
Population     Description	Approximate Percentage of Participants <18 years and those >65 years     <18 = 20% >65 = 11%     N/A     <18 = 25.5%       >65 = 11%     >65 = 65.6%
Dynamics     Population size (active/final), annual change in size, sample weights       Demographic Data     Age, gender, ethnicity/race, geographic location, date of birth, death data	Percentage of Males/Females     Males = 49% Females = 51%     N/A     Males = 50% Females = 50% (60% females and 40% males have received services)
Physician &	Geographic Location     Southeast     All regions of USA     U.S. Census Regions - East,       Mid-Atlantic     (The database contains information     Southeast. Midvest. West

Physician ID & specialty; Pharmacy ID

Date parameters, coding system(s), as well as data on physical exams, birth

Procedures	Date parameters, coding system(s), lab data
Drug Information	Date parameters, regimen & route, manufacturer, dosage, coding system(s), generic name
Economic Data	Cost data including type; surrogate cost data
Validation & Linkage	Database linkage capabilities to and validation against other sources; access to medical records
Administrative Data	Contact and accessibility information for each database, recent references, and date the database was last updated

Two types of searches were simulated in bridgetodata.org to identify databases that contain **appropriate diagnosis & drug data** and are **large enough** to study respiratory disease outcomes. First, a *criteria-based search* was conducted to identify databases by categories of information (**Figure 2**). To supplement this, a *keyword-based search* was also conducted.

## CONCLUSION

This example demonstrates that bridgetodata.org supports decisionmaking and identification of a large number of databases worldwide for (pharmaco)epidemiologic and pharmacoeconomic research. It also serves as a teaching tool for understanding healthcare databases and their attributes.

26<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Pharmacoepidemiology & Therapeutic Risk Management, Brighton, UK.

	Date of Birth Recorded	Yes (Date / Month / Year)	Yes (Year)	Yes (Year)
	Death Recorded	No Only date of death is available by linking to an external source	Yes Death information is available on hospital discharge records	No
	Other Demographic Data	No	Yes Information such as relationship to policy holder, employment status and family history (cancers and other chronic conditions) data can be obtained from the Health Risk Assessment Database	No
Population Dynamics	Database Population Size	20 - 50 Million (39 million - number of people who have existed in the database at any time - Fully Insured and ASO. For just "Fully Insured" it would be 31.4 million.)	50 - 100 Million (73.9 million)	50 - 100 Million (>60 million patients)
	Active Population Size	5 - 20 Million (12.9 million ASO & Fully Insured; 9.5 million Fully Insured only)	N/A	5 - 20 Million (~ 16 million)
Diagnoses/Signs & Symptoms	Diagnosis Data	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Diagnoses Coded	ICD-9-CM	ICD-9-CM DRG	ICD-9-CM
	Diagnoses: Maximum Number of Codes Allowed	5	2 (2 diagnoses per inpatient or outpatient procedure)	4 (4 per record)
Procedures	Laboratory Information	Yes	Yes Biometric data such as BMI, blood pressure, cholesterol, etc. are available. Outpatient laboratory results are available via linkage to the Lab Database.	Yes Lab results are available for a sub- set of 1 million patients
Drug Information	Drug Data	Yes: Prescription only	Yes: Prescription only Filled prescriptions (retail or mail order), physician administered (specially, immunizations/vaccines, etc.)	Yes: Prescription only
	Drug Coding System: Primary	NDC	NDC	NDC Mapping tables to AHFS, USC available
	Drug Dosage	No (Only through calculation of NDC (mg specific) and days supply)	Yes	Yes NDC gives dose form, but not number prescribed or Sig

(The database contains information at region, state, and 3-digit zip code

## Educating a Pharmacoepidemiology Researcher on Selecting Optimal Healthcare Databases for Research

Sharmila A Kamani, Earl L Goehring Jr, Anokhi J Kapasi, Bao Nguyen-Khoa, Varinder P Singh, Judith K Jones

DGI, Inc., Arlington, VA, USA

Presented at the 26th International Conference on Pharmacoepidemiology & Therapeutic Risk Management. August 19-22, 2010, Brighton, UK.



DGI Center for Health Research and Education Inc. 1616 N Fort Myer Drive, Suite 1430 • Arlington, VA 22209 USA www.bridgetodata.org T: +1 703 894 0804 info@bridgetodata.org